

**SUMMARY OF MOTIONS
TOWN OF MOUNTAIN VILLAGE
DESIGN REVIEW BOARD MEETING
THURSDAY, JULY 12 2018**

Call to Order

Vice Chair Luke Trujillo called the meeting of the Design Review Board of the Town of Mountain Village to order at 10:01 a.m. on Thursday, July 12, 2018 in Palmyra Conference Room at 415 Mountain Village Boulevard Mountain Village, CO 81435.

Attendance

The following Board/Alternate members were present and acting:

Keith Brown
Liz Caton (Alternate)
David Craige
Greer Garner
Dave Eckman
Luke Trujillo
Jean Vatter (Alternate)

The following Board members were absent:

Banks Brown
Phil Evans

Town Staff in attendance:

Michelle Haynes, Planning & Development Services Director
Sam Starr, Planner

Public in attendance:

Narcis Tudor	info@narcistudor.com
Steve Ciecuch	steveciecuch@gmail.com
Tommy Hein	Tommy@tommyhein.com
Matt Lynch	mattlynch@tommyhein.com
Paul Hoskinson	phoskinson@cedur.com
Ken Watt	Not Provided
Jack Wesson	Jwesson@me.com
Russ Montgomery	russme@prosetconstruction.com
Jolana Vanek	Jolanavanek@yahoo.com
Richard Thorpe	rthypno@yahoo.com
David Ballode	dballode@msn.com

Reading and Approval of Summary of Motions for the June 7, 2018 Design Review Board Meeting.

On a **Motion** made by Greer Garner and seconded by Keith Brown, the DRB voted 7-0 to approve the June 7th, 2018 Design Review Summary of Motions with the following changes:

- 1) On page 4 of the minutes, the second motion for the consideration of a conditional use permit application for the placement of a ropes course, quad jumper trampoline, and mining sluice on OS3X Active Open Space was corrected. The text now reads "On a Second Motion made by Greer Garner and seconded by Liz Caton, the DRB voted 4-0 to approve a recommendation to Town Council for a Class 4 conditional use permit application for a trampoline and mining sluice on OS3X, Active Open Space with the following conditions"
- 2) The vote to adjourn was corrected to reflect that the Design review board voted 4-0 to adjourn the June 7th, 2018 Meeting.

Consideration of a Final Review Application for a new single-family home on Lot 359, 115 Snowfield Drive.

Sam Starr presented the consideration of a Class 3 Final Review for a new single-family home on Lot 359, 115 Snowfield Drive. Narcis Tudor of Narcis Tudor Architects presented on behalf of the owner.

No public comment was provided.

On a **Motion** made by David Craige and seconded by David Eckman, the DRB voted 7-0 to continue the Final Review application for Lot 359 to the September 6th, 2018 meeting.

Consideration of an Initial Architectural Site Review for a new single-family home on Lot 346, 527 Benchmark Drive.

Michelle Haynes presented the Initial Architectural Site Review for a new single-family home on Lot 346, 527 Benchmark Drive. Chris Hawkins of Alpine Planning LLC and Tommy Hein of Tommy Hein Architects presented on behalf of the owner.

No public comment was provided.

On a **Motion** made by Greer Garner and seconded by David Craige, the DRB voted 7-0 to approve the Initial Architectural Site Review application with the stated variations and specific approvals for Lot 346 with the following conditions:

1. *A monumented land survey shall be prepared by a Colorado public land surveyor to establish the maximum building height and the maximum average building height. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
2. *A monumented land survey of the footers will be provided prior to pouring concrete to determine there are no additional encroachments into the GE. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
3. *Prior to issuance of a CO the owners of Lot 346 will enter in to a General Easement Encroachment Agreement with the Town of Mountain Village for the address monument in the western GE and the retaining walls along the ski access.*
4. *Pre- and post construction grades along with clear north, south, east and west elevations be submitted with their final review application so that heights can be verified consistent with the CDC.*

5. *Prior to Final Review, the applicant will provide clear elevations depicting specifics of glass fenestration, materiality, and roof elements.*
6. *Prior to Final Review, applicant will provide detailed plans for proposed retaining walls.*

Consideration of a Design Review application for converting an existing cedar shake roof to CeDUR synthetic roofing on Lot 313, 400 Benchmark Drive.

Vice chairman Luke Trujillo and member Jean Vatter left the meeting at 12:40PM

Craige chaired the meeting.

Sam Starr presented the consideration of a Design Review application for converting an existing cedar shake roof to CeDUR roofing on Lot 313, 400 Benchmark Drive.

No public comment was provided.

On a **Motion** made by Dave Eckman and seconded by Greer Garner, the DRB voted 5-0 to approve the Design Review application for converting an existing cedar shake roof to CeDUR synthetic roofing on Lot 313, 400 Benchmark Drive. There were no conditions.

Consideration of a Design Review Final Review application for a new single-family home on lot 600-BR1, 15 Trails Edge Lane.

Sam Starr presented the Consideration of a Final Design Review application for a new single-family home on Lot 600BR-1, 15 Trails Edge Lane. Jack Wesson of Jack Wesson Architects and Russ Montgomery of ProSet Construction presented on behalf of the owner.

No public comment was provided.

On a **Motion** made by Greer Garner and seconded by Keith Brown the DRB voted 5-0 to approve the Final Design Review application with the stated variations and specific approvals for Lot 600BR-1 with the following conditions:

- 1) *A monumented land survey shall be prepared by a Colorado public land surveyor to establish the maximum building height and the maximum average building height.*
- 2) *A monumented land survey of the footers will be provided prior to pouring concrete to determine there are no additional encroachments into the GE. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
- 3) *Applicant submit a revised Construction Mitigation Plan to address parking of vehicles in the General Easement.*
- 4) *Telluride Fire Protection District must approve of the address identification sign prior to installation.*

Consideration of a Final Review Application for a new single-family home on Lot 359, 115 Snowfield Drive.

On a **Motion** made by Dave Eckman and seconded by Liz Caton the DRB voted 5-0 to reconsider the Final Design Review application for a new single-family home on Lot 359, 115 Snowfield Drive.

Sam Starr presented the consideration of a Class 3 Final Review for a new single-family home on Lot 359, 115 Snowfield Drive. Narcis Tudor of Narcis Tudor Architects presented on behalf of the owner.

No public comment was provided.

On a **Motion** made by Dave Eckman and seconded by Greer Garner the DRB voted 5-0 to approve the Final Design Review application with the stated variations and specific approvals for Lot 359, Snowfield Drive with the following conditions:

- 1) *A monumented land survey shall be prepared by a Colorado public land surveyor to establish the maximum building height and the maximum average building height. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
- 2) *A monumented land survey of the footers will be provided prior to pouring concrete to determine there are no additional encroachments into the GE. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
- 3) *Contractor/owner will enter into a license agreement with the Town for the temporary construction staging in the Rocky Road RROW.*
- 4) *Prior to issuance of a CO the owners of Lot 359 will enter in to a General Easement Encroachment/RROW Agreement with the Town of Mountain Village for the exterior parking, retaining wall and driveway abutments in the southern GE and the backing area in the Rocky Road RROW.*
- 5) *Exterior linear light source will be 1.5Watts per square foot, installed at a 45 degree channel inked back towards the house, with frosted lenses and a dimming system to achieve UBC footcandle requirements.*
- 6) *Passage of this agenda item excludes Utility and Grading Plan C1; instead, the driveway shall be in conformance with the representations made in the renderings and other packet materials. Should the applicant wish to revisit the driveway alignment, he will need to do so in a separate application.*

Consideration of an Initial Architectural Site Review for a new single-family home on Lot AR-31, 125 Singletree Ridge.

Sam Starr presented the Initial Architectural Site Review for a new single-family home on Lot AR-31, 125 Singletree Ridge. Narcis Tudor of Narcis Tudor Architects presented on behalf of the owner.

No public comment was provided.

Board member Jean Vatter returned at 1:46PM

On a **Motion** made by David Eckman and seconded by Greer Garner, the DRB voted 5-1, with Keith Brown opposing, to approve the Initial Architectural Site Review application with the stated variations and specific approvals for Lot AR-31 with the following conditions:

1. *A monumented land survey shall be prepared by a Colorado public land surveyor to establish the maximum building height and the maximum average building height. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
2. *A monumented land survey of the footers will be provided prior to pouring concrete to determine there are no additional encroachments into the GE. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
3. *Applicant shall study and refine structure to deemphasize garage element prior to Final Review*

4. *Applicant shall study and base element of the columns and provides alternate solution prior to Final Review.*
5. *Applicant shall study snowshed and adjusts the roof accordingly.*
6. *Applicant brings the stone percentage into compliance with the Community Development Code.*

Other Business

Board Member Dave Eckman left at 2:05PM

Planner Sam Starr discussed greenhouses in Mountain Village, and how the existing Community Development Code does not differentiate between personal and commercial greenhouse uses. The board unanimously agreed that staff should come back with draft code language that clarifies types of greenhouses and the permitting process for residential and commercial greenhouses.

Adjourn

On a Unanimous **Motion**, DRB voted 5-0 to adjourn the July 12th, 2018 meeting of the Mountain Village Design Review Board at 2:21 p.m.

Prepared and Submitted by,

Sam Starr
Planner
Town of Mountain Village