

**SUMMARY OF MOTIONS
TOWN OF MOUNTAIN VILLAGE
DESIGN REVIEW BOARD MEETING
THURSDAY OCTOBER 3, 2019**

Call to Order

Vice Chairman David Craige called the meeting of the Design Review Board of the Town of Mountain Village to order at 10:00AM on October 3rd, 2019 in the Town Hall Conference Room at 415 Mountain Village Boulevard Mountain Village, CO 81435.

Attendance

The following Board members were present and acting:

Cath Jett
Keith Brown
David Craige
Adam Miller (1st alternate)
Ellen Kramer (2nd alternate)

The following Board members were absent:

Banks Brown
Dave Eckman
Liz Caton
Greer Garner

Town Staff in attendance:

Michelle Haynes, Planning & Development Services Director
Sam Starr, Planner
John Miller, Senior Planner

Public in attendance:

Chris Hawkins	chris@alpineplanningllc.com
Robert Stenhammer	rstenhammer@telski.com
David Ballode	dballode@msn.com
Claire Ricks	claire@fortenberry.com
Susan Conger Austin	conger@iit.edu
Jim Austin	austinh60610@yahoo.com

Reading and Approval of Summary of Motions of the September 5th, 2019 Design Review Board Meeting

On a **Motion** made by Keith Brown and Seconded by Cath Jett, the Design Review Board voted 5-0 to approve the September 5th, 2019 Summary of Motions.

A review and recommendation to Town Council Regarding A Major Planned Unit Development (PUD) Amendment to Lots 126R and 152R Planned Unit Development (formerly referred to as the Rosewood PUD and now known as La Montage) including but not limited to, a density transfer and rezone in accordance with CDC Sections 17.3.8 and 17.4.12, and; Consideration of a concurrent Design Review Application for 18 condominium units associated with the above referenced Major PUD Amendment and associated amenity space on Lot 152R pursuant to CDC Section 17.4.11.

Planner John Miller presented the review and recommendation to Town Council Regarding A Major Planned Unit Development (PUD) Amendment to Lots 126R and 152R Planned Unit Development (formerly referred to as the Rosewood PUD and now known as La Montage) including but not limited to, a density transfer and rezone in accordance with CDC Sections 17.3.8 and 17.4.12, and; Consideration of a concurrent Design Review Application for 18 condominium units associated with the above referenced Major PUD Amendment and associated amenity space on Lot 152R pursuant to CDC Section 17.4.11.

There was no public comment.

On a **Motion** made by Cath Jett and seconded by Ellen Kramer the DRB voted 4-0, with Keith Brown abstaining, to **continue** the review and recommendation to Town Council Regarding A Major Planned Unit Development (PUD) Amendment to Lots 126R and 152R Planned Unit Development (formerly referred to as the Rosewood PUD and now known as La Montage) including but not limited to, a density transfer and rezone in accordance with CDC Sections 17.3.8 and 17.4.12, and; Consideration of a concurrent Design Review Application for 18 condominium units associated with the above referenced Major PUD Amendment and associated amenity space on Lot 152R pursuant to CDC Section 17.4.11., to the November 7th 2019 Design Review Board Meeting.

Class 1 Sign Application raised to a class 3 Design Review Application for the consideration of a new LED sign in the Town of Mountain Village Road Right of Way.

Planner Sam Starr presented the Class 1 Sign Application raised to a class 3 Design Review Application for the consideration of a new LED sign in the Town of Mountain Village Road Right of Way. Chief of Police Chris Broady presented on behalf of the applicant.

There was no public comment.

On a **Motion** made by Cath Jett, and seconded by Keith Brown, the DRB voted 5-0 to approve the Class 1 Sign Application raised to a class 3 Design Review Application for the consideration of a new LED sign in the Town of Mountain Village Road Right of Way, with the following specific approvals, findings and conditions:

Specific Approvals

- LED Sign that exceeds the 2 square foot requirement for a LED Sign.

Conditions:

- 1) That the lighting levels are set to a minimal level.
- 2) That the LED colors remain contextually compatible and of a textual nature.
- 3) That when not in use, the sign is turned off.

Findings:

- 1) The Design Review Board finds that the sign meets the purpose and intent of the Community Development Code Sign Regulations in Section 17.5.13.
- 2) The Design Review Board finds that the sign meets the Town Design Theme.
- 3) The replaced sign is more aesthetic and provides greater messaging flexibility for the town

Consideration for a Design Review: Final Architecture and Site Review Application for a new single-family residence on Lot BC105, 114 Lawson Overlook.

Planner Sam Starr presented the Consideration for a Design Review: Final Architecture and Site Review Application for a new single-family residence on Lot BC105, 114 Lawson Overlook. Jack Wesson of Jack Wesson Architects presented on behalf of the applicant.

There was no public comment.

On a **Motion** made by Cath Jett, and seconded by Adam Miller, the DRB voted 5-0 to approve the Consideration for a Design Review: Final Architecture and Site Review Application for a new single-family residence on Lot BC105, 114 Lawson Overlook, with the following conditions:

- 1) *A monumented land survey shall be prepared by a Colorado public land surveyor to establish the maximum building height and the maximum average building height. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
- 2) *A monumented land survey of the footers will be provided prior to pouring concrete to determine there are no additional encroachments into the GE. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
- 3) *Consistent with town building codes, unenclosed accessory structures attached to buildings with habitable spaces and projections, such as decks, shall be constructed as either non-combustible, heavy timber, or exterior grade ignition resistant materials such as those listed as WUIC (Wildland Urban Interface Code) approved products.*
- 4) *Applicant shall submit an exterior lighting plan prepared by a licensed professional that includes an isometric foot-candle diagram, and dimmer/lighting management system information to be reviewed by staff and DRB Chairperson or DRB Chairperson designate. The updated lighting plan will have to omit the two lower sconces as discussed during the 10.3.19 DRB Meeting.*
- 5) *Applicant shall revise their address monument plan to provide downlighting, remove board form as a material, and to correct the number to 114 Lawson Overlook.*
- 6) *Should the state of the General Easements be disturbed during construction, the applicant must revegetate the area to its prior condition using the native seed mix.*
- 7) *Applicant shall revise the building plans to include a fire sprinkler system per the direction of the TFPD Fire Chief.*
- 8) *All retaining walls, with the exception of the lower retaining wall as shown on A305, shall be allowed to have board form as a material.*

Consideration for a Design Review: Initial Architecture and Site Review Application for a new single-family residence on Lot AR-53R2, 125 Adams Way.

Planner Sam Starr presented the Consideration for a Design Review: Initial Architecture and Site Review Application for a new single-family residence on Lot AR-53R2, 125 Adams Way. Susan Conger-Austin represented herself in this matter.

There was no public comment.

On a **Motion** made by Keith Brown, and seconded by Adam Miller, the DRB voted 4-1, with Cath Jett opposing, to approve the Consideration for a Design Review: Initial Architecture and Site Review Application for a new single-family residence on Lot AR-53R2, 125 Adams Way, with the following stated variations, specific approvals, findings and conditions:

Stated variations and specific approvals:

- *Stone percentage under CDC required 35.00%*
- *8" Vertical Siding*

Findings:

- 1) *The Design Review Board finds that this application for a Design Review: Initial Architectural Site Review for a new single-family residence is a complete application and requires DRB Review.*
- 2) *The Design Review Board finds that this proposed architectural proposal meets the Town Design Theme.*

Conditions:

- 1) *A monumented land survey shall be prepared by a Colorado public land surveyor to establish the maximum building height and the maximum average building height. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
- 2) *A monumented land survey of the footers will be provided prior to pouring concrete to determine there are no additional encroachments into the GE. This condition shall be carried over to any Final Review Approval as it is a construction condition.*
- 3) *Applicant shall demonstrate the exact square footage of snowmelt proposed for this project.*
- 4) *Prior to Final Review, the applicant shall provide a revised lighting plan that contains updated recessed lighting fixtures that do not exceed 850 Lumens and does not contain landscape lighting.*
- 5) *Prior to Final Review, Applicant shall provide a revised address identification sign schematic that contains downlighting and numbers coated in a reflective paint.*
- 6) *Applicant shall revise the construction mitigation plan prior to Final Review to include construction fencing around the entirety of the site and outside of the setbacks except for areas that are already devoid of vegetation and otherwise disturbed such as the proposed driveway area.*

Adjourn

On a unanimous **Motion**, the Design Review Board voted 5-0 to adjourn the October 3rd, 2019 meeting of the Mountain Village Design Review Board at 12:40 P.M.

Prepared and Submitted by,

Sam Starr, AICP
Planner
Town of Mountain Village